



## **Metacognition in the Classroom: Exploring Teachers' Perspectives and Pedagogical Practices**

**Dr. Anu Rawat**

Lecturer, Education, Zila Panchayat Inter College, Aunta, Prayagraj

### **Abstract**

Metacognition - the ability to think about, monitor, and regulate one's own thinking—has become an important concept in contemporary education. This qualitative research paper explores teachers' perspectives and pedagogical practices concerning the use of metacognition in classroom teaching. It focuses on how teachers understand metacognition, how they integrate planning, monitoring, evaluation, questioning, think-aloud modelling, self-assessment, feedback, and reflection into everyday instruction, and what factors influence implementation. An interpretive qualitative design is proposed using semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, reflective teacher notes, and classroom artefacts. Thematic analysis is used to organize the inquiry around recurring themes. The literature indicates that explicit teaching of planning, monitoring, and evaluation can support students' self-regulated learning, while effective implementation depends on teachers' pedagogical knowledge, subject context, professional development, and classroom conditions. The paper argues that metacognition is most meaningful when embedded within normal subject teaching rather than taught as a detached set of generic skills. Teacher modelling, purposeful questioning, guided practice, formative feedback, and gradual transfer of responsibility can help students become more reflective and independent learners. The study offers implications for classroom pedagogy, teacher education, curriculum planning, assessment, and future qualitative research.

**Keywords:** Metacognition, Metacognitive Strategies, Teaching-Learning Process, Reflective Teaching, Self-regulated Learning, Classroom Practice.

### **1. Introduction**

Education is increasingly concerned not only with what students learn but also with how they learn. Students are expected to solve problems, interpret information, evaluate evidence, respond to feedback, and continue learning independently. These demands make awareness and regulation of learning processes important educational goals. Metacognition provides a useful framework because it directs attention to learners' knowledge of their own thinking and their ability to regulate cognitive activity. Flavell's foundational work conceptualized metacognition in terms of knowledge and experiences concerning persons, tasks, goals, actions, and strategies. Later scholarship connected metacognition closely with self-regulated learning, in which learners plan, monitor, and reflect on their learning while also managing motivational and behavioural factors. In classroom settings, metacognition therefore concerns not merely "thinking about thinking" but making deliberate decisions about how to approach and improve learning.

Teachers are central to this process. They can make expert thinking visible through think-aloud demonstrations, ask questions that prompt planning and monitoring, use formative feedback, and create opportunities for students to evaluate their own work. However, implementation is not automatic. Teachers work within curriculum, assessment, time, class-size, and institutional constraints. Their beliefs and professional knowledge also shape how metacognitive strategies are interpreted and used.

## **2. Conceptual Framework**

### **Concept of Metacognition**

Metacognition is commonly understood as knowledge about one's cognition and the regulation of cognitive processes. Metacognitive knowledge concerns knowledge of learners, tasks, strategies, and conditions under which strategies are useful. Metacognitive regulation concerns the active management of learning through planning, monitoring, and evaluation. For classroom purposes, these processes can be translated into observable practices: setting goals, selecting strategies, checking understanding, identifying errors, interpreting feedback, and deciding what to do next.

### **Metacognition and Self-Regulated Learning**

Metacognition is a central component of self-regulated learning, although self-regulated learning also includes motivational, behavioural, and affective processes. Zimmerman's cyclical model describes forethought, performance, and self-reflection. Planning and goal-setting occur before or at the beginning of a task; monitoring and strategy use occur during performance; and self-evaluation occurs after performance. Reflection can then influence the next learning cycle.

### **Teacher as a Metacognitive Model**

Teachers can model metacognition by explaining the decisions made while completing a task. For example, a teacher solving a mathematics problem may verbalize how the problem is interpreted, which strategy is selected, how progress is checked, and what happens when an approach fails. In reading, a teacher may model prediction, questioning, rereading, and revision of interpretation. Such modelling makes otherwise invisible cognitive processes available for observation and guided practice.

## **3. Review of Related Literature**

Flavell (1979) provided the foundational conceptual account of metacognition and argued that cognitive monitoring involves interactions among metacognitive knowledge, experiences, goals, and actions or strategies. This framework remains influential because it explains why effective learning requires awareness of both the task and the learner's own cognitive resources.

Schraw and Moshman (1995) further developed the distinction between metacognitive knowledge and regulation. Their work is useful for educational research because it shows that

students require both knowledge of strategies and the ability to regulate their use. Simply knowing that a strategy exists does not guarantee that a learner will use it appropriately.

Veenman, Van Hout-Wolters, and Afflerbach (2006) emphasized the importance of metacognition for learning while also drawing attention to conceptual and methodological challenges. Their work supports the use of multiple forms of evidence when studying metacognition, since a single score may not capture the complexity of students' strategic behaviour.

Hattie, Biggs, and Purdie (1996) examined learning-skills interventions and identified the importance of approaches that address learning and study processes. Their meta-analysis provides broader support for teaching students how to manage learning rather than focusing only on content transmission.

Panadero (2017), in a review of major self-regulated learning models, demonstrated that contemporary research integrates cognitive, metacognitive, motivational, behavioural, and affective dimensions. This broader perspective suggests that metacognitive teaching is more likely to be effective when it is connected to motivation, task value, strategy knowledge, and meaningful academic activity.

Current evidence-informed guidance continues to emphasize explicit teaching. The Education Endowment Foundation reports that metacognitive and self-regulatory approaches have substantial potential, but that implementation requires teachers to model strategies and embed planning, monitoring, and evaluation in ordinary curriculum tasks. Recent reviews also indicate that metacognitive strategies frequently appear alongside cognitive and motivational strategies in effective self-regulated learning interventions.

Research is also extending into technology-supported learning. Digital dashboards, open learner models, and adaptive systems may support monitoring when learners are helped to interpret feedback and make informed decisions. Technology itself, however, does not create metacognition; pedagogical design remains essential.

#### **4. Objectives of the Study**

- To explore teachers' understanding of metacognition and its relevance to classroom learning.
- To identify metacognitive strategies used by teachers in classroom practice.
- To explore teachers' perceptions of students' responses to metacognitive teaching.
- To identify challenges influencing implementation of metacognitive practices.
- To suggest pedagogical and institutional measures for strengthening metacognitive teaching.

#### **5. Research Questions**

- How do teachers understand metacognition in relation to teaching and learning?
- What metacognitive strategies do teachers use in classroom practice?

- How do teachers perceive students' responses to metacognitive teaching?
- What challenges influence implementation of metacognitive practices?
- What professional support can help teachers integrate metacognition more effectively?

## **6. Research Methodology**

### **Research Design**

The study adopted an interpretive qualitative design. The purpose is to understand teachers' meanings, experiences, perceptions, and classroom practices rather than to calculate a statistical effect. Metacognition is treated as a situated pedagogical process shaped by interaction among teachers, learners, tasks, curriculum, assessment, and institutional context.

### **Participants and Sampling**

For empirical implementation, purposive sampling was used to select approximately 10–15 teachers from schools or colleges. Participants were selected with consideration of variation in teaching experience, subject area, and grade level. Participation in the study was voluntary and based on informed consent.

### **Data Collection**

Four complementary sources were proposed: semi-structured teacher interviews, classroom observations, teacher reflective notes, and classroom artefacts such as lesson plans, self-assessment sheets, learning logs, and question prompts. Interviews explored teachers' understanding and intentions, while observations and artefacts were also examined to connect reported practice with actual classroom activity.

### **Data Analysis**

The data were analysed using thematic analysis. Interview and observation records were read repeatedly, followed by initial coding. Possible codes included planning, monitoring, evaluation, think-aloud modelling, questioning, self-assessment, feedback, learner autonomy, time constraints, assessment pressure, and professional development. Related codes were then grouped into broader themes. The analysis distinguished participants' accounts from the researcher's interpretation.

## **7. Thematic Findings and Discussion**

Because this paper presents a qualitative research framework rather than a completed field dataset, the following are analytically grounded themes that the proposed empirical study was designed to investigate.

### **Theme 1: Metacognition as Awareness of Learning Processes**

Teachers may describe metacognition as students becoming aware of what they know, what they do not know, and how they approach a task. This perspective shifts teaching from answers alone toward the reasoning and strategies used to reach those answers. Such awareness can also help

teachers identify misconceptions that may remain hidden when assessment focuses only on final responses.

**Theme 2: Planning as the Beginning of Learning**

Planning can be developed through clear learning goals, success criteria, task decomposition, prediction questions, and strategy selection. Before beginning a task, students can be asked what the task requires, what they already know, what they need to find out, and which strategy may be useful. In writing, for example, students can plan purpose, audience, structure, and evidence before drafting.

**Theme 3: Monitoring through Questioning and Think-Alouds**

Monitoring is often visible through teacher questioning and think-aloud modelling. Questions such as “How do you know?”, “Is your strategy working?”, and “What evidence supports your answer?” direct students’ attention to their own learning. Teachers can gradually reduce prompts as students become more capable of monitoring independently.

**Theme 4: Evaluation, Feedback, and Reflection**

Evaluation involves looking back at the quality and effectiveness of learning. Self-assessment checklists, reflective journals, peer feedback, exit tickets, and revision activities can provide opportunities for evaluation. Reflection becomes more meaningful when it leads to a concrete next action, such as changing a strategy, seeking clarification, or revising an answer.

**Theme 5: Metacognition and Learner Independence**

Consistent metacognitive teaching supports a gradual movement from teacher dependence to learner independence. Initially, students may require explicit prompts. With repeated guided practice, some of these prompts can become internalized, enabling students to set goals, choose strategies, monitor progress, and evaluate outcomes more independently.

**Theme 6: Challenges in Implementation**

Teachers may identify time pressure, curriculum demands, large classes, examination orientation, limited professional development, and students’ established habits as barriers. Another challenge is conceptual: teachers may use activities such as reflection or self-assessment without fully connecting them to strategic regulation. Effective professional learning therefore needs to address both theory and practical classroom enactment.

**Theme 7: Need for Professional Development**

Teachers themselves need opportunities to develop metacognitive pedagogical knowledge. Professional development can focus on modelling, questioning, formative assessment, collaborative lesson planning, observation of classroom practice, and reflection on student responses. The aim should be integration into normal subject teaching rather than adding a separate metacognition programme.

**8. Implications for Teaching Practice**

- Embed metacognitive strategies within subject-specific tasks rather than isolated generic lessons.
- Use think-aloud modelling to demonstrate planning, monitoring, revision, and evaluation.
- Use structured questions before, during, and after tasks.
- Gradually transfer responsibility from teacher modelling to guided practice and independent regulation.
- Use formative feedback as information for students' next learning decisions.
- Use learning journals, exit reflections, self-assessment checklists, and error-analysis activities.
- Include metacognition in teacher professional development and collaborative planning.
- Value reasoning, strategy selection, revision, and reflection alongside final answers.

**9. Educational Implications**

At the institutional level, schools and colleges can support metacognition through professional learning communities in which teachers share lesson designs, examine classroom evidence, and reflect on learning processes. Curriculum planners can identify natural opportunities for planning, monitoring, and evaluation within subject teaching. Teacher education programmes can introduce metacognition through practical lesson demonstrations as well as theoretical study. For learners, metacognitive pedagogy has implications for learner autonomy and lifelong learning. Students who can set goals, select strategies, interpret feedback, and adapt their learning are better prepared to continue learning beyond formal instruction. These capacities should, however, be developed progressively with appropriate scaffolding.

**10. Conclusion**

Metacognition provides an important framework for improving the teaching-learning process because it shifts attention from the acquisition of information alone to learners' awareness and regulation of how learning occurs. Teachers can nurture this capacity by modelling thinking, asking purposeful questions, supporting planning, encouraging monitoring, using formative feedback, and creating opportunities for reflection and revision. The qualitative perspective highlights that successful metacognitive pedagogy depends on teacher knowledge, classroom context, student readiness, curriculum expectations, assessment practices, and institutional support. Metacognition is therefore most useful when embedded into ordinary teaching rather than treated as an additional activity. Through gradual transfer of responsibility, learners can move toward making informed decisions about how, why, and when to learn.

**References**

1. Education Endowment Foundation. (2025). Metacognition and self-regulated learning: Guidance report (2nd ed.). Education Endowment Foundation.



2. Education Endowment Foundation. (2025). Metacognition and self-regulation evidence review. Education Endowment Foundation.
3. Flavell, J. H. (1979). Metacognition and cognitive monitoring: A new area of cognitive-developmental inquiry. *American Psychologist*, 34(10), 906–911. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.34.10.906>
4. Hattie, J., Biggs, J., & Purdie, N. (1996). Effects of learning skills interventions on student learning: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 66(2), 99–136. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543066002099>
5. Panadero, E. (2017). A review of self-regulated learning: Six models and four directions for research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 422. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00422>
6. Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7(4), 351–371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02212307>
7. Veenman, M. V. J., Van Hout-Wolters, B. H. A. M., & Afflerbach, P. (2006). Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations. *Metacognition and Learning*, 1(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-006-6893-0>
8. Zimmerman, B. J. (2000). Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich, & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp. 13–39). Academic Press.
9. Zimmerman, B. J., & Moylan, A. R. (2009). Self-regulation: Where metacognition and motivation intersect. In D. J. Hacker, J. Dunlosky, & A. C. Graesser (Eds.), *Handbook of metacognition in education* (pp. 299–315). Routledge.