

From Historical Rupture to Cultural Reconstruction: Memory, Diaspora, and Identity in Dionne Brand's Poetry

Ajit Singh Roptara¹ & Dr. Jeetender Kumar²

¹Research Scholar, School of Liberal and Creative Arts (Social Sciences & Languages), Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India; and Educator, Department of School Education, Government of Punjab, India.

²Assistant Professor, School of Liberal and Creative Arts (Social Sciences & Languages), Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

Abstract

This study examines the reconstruction of memory, history, and cultural identity in selected poetry by Dionne Brand with particular attention to the poems “No Language Is Neutral,” “Return,” and Land to Light On. The study argues that Brand, with her innovative use of literary language, transforms poetry into a counter-archival space in which fragmented personal and collective histories can be remembered, interrogated, and reimagined. Primarily drawing on Maurice Halbwachs's concept of collective memory, Paul Gilroy's account of the Black Atlantic, Stuart Hall's formulation of cultural identity, and Erica L. Johnson's concept of the “neo-archive,” the study explores how slavery, colonialism, migration, and racial displacement shape diasporic experiences. The study focuses on language, ancestry, historical absence, geographical displacement, and belonging. This paper establishes that Brand does not present memory as a transparent recovery of an intact past; rather, memory becomes a reconstructive practice through which fragments, silences, and inherited absences acquire cultural meaning. Her poetry also complicates the relationship between land and belonging by challenging the assumption that geographical rootedness guarantees identity. Eventually, Brand's poetic practice presents Black Canadian cultural identity as dynamic, diasporic, relational, and continually negotiated through memory, language, history, and place.

Keywords: Dionne Brand; Collective Memory; Diaspora; Counter-Memory; Neo-Archive; Displacement; Cultural Identity; Black Canadian Literature.

Introduction

In contemporary Black Canadian literature, Dionne Brand enjoys a significant position as her writing persistently addresses memory, history, displacement, language, place, and cultural identity. Dionne Brand was born in Trinidad and later moved to Canada. Brand writes from a transnational location in which Caribbean experience and Canadian social realities remain mutually implicated. “Brand's memoir participates in an entire genre of postcolonial and in particular Caribbean literature that addresses the colonial archive” (Johnson 149). Although Johnson's statement cited here is concerned with Brand's prose, its implications are useful for understanding the archival and historical concerns that also shape her poetry.

By bringing African diasporic histories, migration, colonialism, racialization, and displacement into her poetic representation, Brand's poetry challenges homogeneous conceptions of Canadian identity. Her poems like *No Language Is Neutral*, *Land to Light On*, *Hard Against the Soul*, and *Ossuaries* repeatedly explore how subjects negotiate histories that have been fragmented by movement and historical violence. These poems therefore provide a productive site for examining how memory operates between personal experience and collective history. The central problem addressed in this study is not simply whether Brand remembers the past, but how she reconstructs a past whose continuity has been disrupted. Slavery, colonialism, forced migration, and racial displacement have produced breaks in genealogical and geographical continuity. In response, Brand turns to language, memory, fragments, places, and inherited absences. Her poetry does not claim to restore an untouched origin. Instead, it makes historical rupture itself visible and transforms that rupture into a field of cultural inquiry.

The pivotal argument of this paper is that Brand's poetry functions as a counter-archival practice. Through poetry, experiences that have been overlooked or inadequately represented in official histories can be brought into view and can be interpreted without being treated as complete or fully transparent accounts of the past. Therefore, memory is not merely a decorative material represented in literature; it also becomes a means through which cultural histories and identities can be reconstructed.

Research Gap and Critical Intervention

Existing research has explored Dionne Brand's works from several perspectives, such as trauma, memory, exile, place, diaspora, language, archival absence, and cultural identity. This paper tries to provide an important foundation for understanding Brand's engagement with history and displacement, as the interrelations among these concerns have not yet been examined as closely as they might be. There is considerable scope for reading selected poems of Brand through a combined framework of parameters like collective memory, Black diasporic movement, cultural identity, and counter-archival practice. The present study tries to bridge this gap by bringing these perspectives together through an examination of how frameworks like memory, displacement, language, and identity work in relation to one another rather than treating them as isolated themes.

The paper develops through three closely related arguments. The first one is how Brand uses poetic memory in order to reconstruct collective histories instead of merely repeating established or authoritative accounts of the past. The second one is how she treats land, migration, and ancestry to show that diasporic belonging cannot be understood only through geographical roots or attachment to a particular place. The third one is how she reshapes English through these historical experiences and turns language into a space where cultural memory can be preserved, questioned, and expressed as resistance. These three arguments suggest that Dionne Brand's poetry is not concerned only with remembrance of the past but actively participates in the ongoing reconstruction of Black diasporic identity.

The study is guided by three interconnected research questions. First, it asks how Brand

reconstructs fragmented personal and collective memories of slavery, colonialism, migration, and displacement through her poetic expressions. Secondly, it considers how conventional ideas of origin, home, and belonging are challenged through language, ancestry, geography, and historical absence in her poetic imagination. Finally, this study explores how Brand's engagement with historical memory contributes to the formation of a dynamic Black diasporic and Black Canadian cultural identity. The identity is not static or simply inherited, but continually shaped and reshaped through frameworks like memory, history, place, displacement, and cultural experience.

Theoretical Framework and Methodology

Maurice Halbwachs's concept of collective memory provides the primary framework for examining the relationship between individual recollection of memories and shared social history. From this perspective, memory is not simply a private or psychological experience; it is shaped by the social contexts through which communities remember, interpret, and pass on their experiences. This approach is particularly useful for reading Brand's poetry, where personal memories and voices often intersect with histories that existed long before the individual subject. Memory in her work therefore emerges as something deeply social, historical, and relational.

Paul Gilroy's concept of the Black Atlantic further places Brand's poetry within the wider histories of Black movement, cultural exchange, slavery, and racial formation across national borders. Gilroy's framework makes it possible to understand Black identity as shaped by movement and interconnected histories rather than by a single national or geographical origin. From this perspective, Brand's Caribbean and Canadian locations are not separate or opposing spaces; instead, they are connected through the histories of migration, displacement, memory, and cultural exchange that inform her poetic imagination.

Stuart Hall's theory of cultural identity further develops this argument by viewing identity as something that is continually formed rather than a fixed essence inherited as such from the past. In Brand's poetry, speakers negotiate their sense of identity through memory, displacement, language, and their changing cultural positions. Identity, therefore, emerges through lived historical experience as well as through how that experience is remembered and reinterpreted over the course of time.

Erica L. Johnson's concept of the "neo-archive" is particularly useful for this study because it helps explain how Brand's literary practice challenges conventional ways of preserving and representing history. Rather than relying solely on formal archival records, Brand brings fragments of memory, geography, personal experience, and imagination into conversation. As Johnson explains, archives can be "deeply problematic yet essential building blocks of cultural memory and identity" (Johnson 50). Kim Green's concept of counter-memory complements this perspective by drawing attention to literature's ability to question and challenge dominant versions of the past. Taken together, these concepts allow Brand's poetry to be understood not simply as a repository of memory, but as an active engagement with the ways history is preserved, interpreted, and remembered.

The study uses qualitative textual analysis and close reading to examine the selected poems. Particular attention is given to recurring patterns of diction, imagery, syntax, repetition, spatial metaphor, historical references, and representations of language. Secondary scholarship provides the broader critical context for these readings but does not replace attention to the poems themselves. The analysis therefore moves from theoretical concepts to specific textual evidence and then to interpretation, with an emphasis on how Brand's poetic choices shape her representations of memory, history, displacement, and identity.

Language as a Repository of Historical Memory

Language plays a central role in Brand's reconstruction of history because it preserves traces of experiences that cannot always be recovered from conventional historical records. In *No Language Is Neutral*, Dionne Brand directly challenges the idea that language is culturally neutral when she declares, "No language is neutral" and concludes, "Here is history too" (*No Language Is Neutral* 22–23). These statements establish a clear connection between language and historical experience. For Brand, language is therefore more than a means of describing history; it is also a space in which traces of the past continue to survive.

This connection becomes even more striking when Brand describes "a morphology of rolling chain and copper gong" that "now shape[s] this twang," while "falsettos of whip and air" "rudiment this grammar" (*No Language Is Neutral* 23). The imagery brings the history of slavery into the sounds and structures of contemporary speech. Words such as "morphology," "grammar," and "twang" suggest that the history of slavery is not something entirely separate from the present. Instead, its effects remain embedded in the cultural and linguistic forms through which experience is expressed. The poem thus suggests that historical violence can continue to shape cultural expression even after the immediate conditions that produced it have disappeared. Kaya Fraser's discussion of Brand's rebellious use of language is relevant here: "Not in words and in words learned by heart" (Fraser 298). The statement reinforces the idea that language itself becomes a site where inherited cultural memory is retained, questioned, and transformed. Rather than accepting English as an untouched inheritance, Brand exposes its historical formation and reshapes it through Caribbean experience. This linguistic intervention is one of the ways in which poetry becomes counter-archival.

The significance of language is also apparent in the statement, "Each sentence realised or / dreamed jumps like a pulse with history and takes a side" (*No Language Is Neutral* 34). Describing the sentence as a "pulse" gives language a sense of movement, embodiment, and continuity with the past. The phrase "takes a side" further suggests that language is never entirely detached from history or experience. It carries positions and memories into the present. Brand's poetic language therefore becomes part of the process through which historical memory is sustained, and identity is continually reconstructed.

Memory, "Return," and Historical Absence

Memory in Brand's poetry is neither complete nor transparent. It emerges through fragments,

absences, inherited traces, and attempts to establish relationships with histories that have been violently interrupted. Garvey writes, “Brand writes of the diaspora as displacement, loss, exile yet she incorporates into her fiction the power of memory and the urgency of resistance” (Garvey 486). Although Garvey’s study focuses on fiction, the formulation helps illuminate a broader pattern in Brand’s writing: memory becomes meaningful precisely because it operates within conditions of displacement and loss.

The poem “Return” is particularly significant because the very idea of returning raises an important question: what, exactly, can be returned to? For diasporic subjects whose connections to ancestral histories have been disrupted, return cannot simply restore an intact or recoverable origin. Instead, it becomes a process of remembering and imagining through which the subject confronts what has been lost or made inaccessible. Brand’s treatment of return therefore avoids nostalgia. Rather than presenting the past as a complete and recoverable homeland, she approaches it through fragments, uncertainties, and the traces that remain.

The history of slavery makes this problem even more complex. Franca Bernabei describes the “haunting effect” of colonial history on contemporary descendants of enslaved Africans and connects genealogical memory with processes of forgetting and diasporic dislocation. Brand’s poetry similarly treats historical absence not simply as a gap but as something that can reveal the violence behind the loss of continuity. What cannot be recovered becomes significant precisely because its absence points to the historical forces that disrupted ancestral memory and belonging.

Johnson’s concept of the “neo-archive” offers a useful way of understanding this process. A literary archive does not attempt to replace documented history with another complete or authoritative record. Instead, it can preserve the gaps, silences, fragments, and traces that conventional archives often leave behind. Brand’s poetry consequently turns absence into a site of historical inquiry, allowing what has been lost or forgotten to remain part of the act of remembering. As Johnson argues, Brand’s writing can “resituate trauma narrative as an endeavour of collective, interpersonal memory.” The reconstruction of the past therefore remains necessarily provisional: it creates connections and meanings from surviving traces without suggesting that historical loss can ever be completely recovered or repaired.

Land, Migration, and the Search for Belonging

The relationship between memory and place becomes particularly visible in *Land to Light On*. Brand’s speaker declares, “Out here I am like someone without a sheet / without a branch but not even safe as the sea” (*Land to Light On* 3). The absence of a “branch” evokes disrupted rootedness, while the sea carries associations of movement, danger, migration, and diasporic history. The image therefore refuses a simple distinction between homeland and host land. Neither geographical origin nor present location provides an uncomplicated foundation for belonging.

The problem of land becomes explicit when the speaker states, “I’m giving up on land to light on,” subsequently questioning the stability of “land” itself (*Land to Light On* 47). The poem

develops this uncertainty through the assertion that “it isn’t land, / it is the same as fog and mist and figures and lines” (*Land to Light On* 47). Land is consequently transformed from a supposedly stable physical foundation into something mediated by representation, mapping, and interpretation. The association with “paper” and “maps” further complicates the assumption that territory can guarantee cultural belonging.

This instability does not mean that place is irrelevant. Rather, place becomes relational and contested. Paul Huebener’s work on identity and place is useful here because Brand’s writing repeatedly demonstrates that geographical location cannot by itself resolve the problem of belonging. Belonging emerges through relationships, memory, cultural practice, and shared experience as much as through territorial attachment.

Brand makes the reconstructive character of this process explicit when she writes, “pleat whole histories with pins in your mouth and guess / at the fall of words” (*Land to Light On* 43). The metaphor of “pleating” suggests gathering and arranging fragments rather than retrieving a complete historical object. The act of reconstruction is difficult, embodied, and linguistic. History must be assembled through imperfect materials, and language becomes the medium through which that assembly takes place.

The longer passage intensifies this idea: “you have to / sweep this stretch of land up around your feet and point to the / signs, pleat whole histories with pins in your mouth and guess / at the fall of words” (*Land to Light On* 43). Here, land, signs, history, and language are inseparable. The speaker does not simply stand upon a stable territory; she must interpret signs and construct historical relationships through language. The poem therefore turns geography into a form of reading.

Ancestry, Diaspora, and the Reconstruction of Identity

Brand’s treatment of ancestry does not suggest that genealogy can provide a simple or unquestionable foundation for identity. The history of slavery disrupted names, families, languages, and connections to particular places, leaving ancestry marked by both inheritance and absence. The subject therefore reaches toward the past while also recognizing that the paths through which that past might be accessed have been fractured or obscured.

This sense of uncertain rootedness is captured in the line, “someone without a sheet / without a branch but not even safe as the sea” (*Land to Light On* 3). The image of the “branch” can be read as a reference to genealogy, family ties, and continuity, while the sea evokes movement, displacement, and historical rupture. Yet the absence of a secure “branch” does not necessarily mean an absence of cultural identity. Instead, it opens the possibility of understanding identity through relationships, memories, histories, and connections that do not depend on a single or fixed origin.

Tavleen Purewal’s formulation that slavery and displacement can “displace subjects while at the same time producing a space for cross-racial kinships” (Purewal 53) is useful in this context. The quotation highlights a productive tension: rupture produces loss, but it can also generate new

relationships and cultural formations. Brand's poetry therefore does not merely narrate displacement; it explores the forms of identity that become possible under conditions of displacement.

Garvey's formulation of "unrooted relation" is especially relevant. The absence of one secure homeland does not eliminate belonging; it changes the conditions under which belonging is produced. Brand's poetry constructs identity through memory, language, encounter, movement, and historical consciousness. Gilroy's Black Atlantic framework helps explain why such identity must be understood through routes, as well as through Garvey's idea of "unrooted relation," which is particularly useful here because it suggests that the absence of a single, secure homeland does not necessarily mean an absence of belonging. Instead, it changes how belonging is formed and understood. In Brand's poetry, identity develops through memory, language, relationships, movement, and an awareness of history. Gilroy's Black Atlantic framework helps explain this understanding of identity through both routes and roots, while Hall's theory further shows why identity should be seen as an ongoing process rather than something fixed or permanently settled. Regarding roots, Hall's theory clarifies why identity remains continually produced rather than permanently fixed.

From Historical Memory to Black Canadian Cultural Identity

The preceding analysis demonstrates that Brand's representation of Black Canadian identity is neither fixed nor reducible to a single national or ancestral origin. Memory supplies fragments of the past; history reveals the structures of rupture; ancestry creates a desire for connection; migration unsettles geographical certainty; and language provides a medium through which these tensions can be negotiated. Identity emerges from the interaction of these elements.

Moynagh argues that "Brand represents the black diaspora in the Americas as an allegory about the incorporation of loss and links worldly losses to their psychic remains" (57). This formulation is significant because it shifts attention from the recovery of a lost past to the incorporation of historical loss into contemporary identity. Brand's poetry does not erase rupture. Instead, it demonstrates how rupture becomes part of the cultural consciousness through which identity is formed.

The same principle is visible in the recurring assertion "Here is history too" (No Language Is Neutral 23). History is located not only in archives, institutions, or official narratives but also in language, memory, embodied experience, and poetic form. The image of "a morphology of rolling chain and copper gong" (No Language Is Neutral 23) similarly places the history of slavery within the materiality of contemporary speech. Poetry therefore becomes a form of historical intervention.

The reconstruction of identity is also spatial. In *Land to Light On*, "I'm giving up on land to light on" (*Land to Light On* 47) refuses the assumption that a stable territory can resolve diasporic uncertainty. The later description of "fog and mist and figures and lines" (*Land to Light On* 47) reinforces the instability of mapped space. What matters is not simply where the subject is located

but how location is remembered, interpreted, contested, and connected to other places.

This process can be understood as a movement from memory toward cultural reconstruction. Brand's poetry transforms inherited fragments into relationships rather than pretending to recover an untouched origin. Her Black Canadian cultural identity is therefore dynamic, diasporic, relational, and unfinished. It is produced through negotiation among histories, places, languages, memories, and contemporary experiences.

Conclusion

Dionne Brand's poetry shows how memory can become a means of reconstructing cultural histories that have been fragmented by slavery, colonialism, migration, racialization, silence, and geographical rupture. These disruptions do not make the past meaningless. Rather, they shape the conditions through which memory, poetry, and cultural identity are formed. Brand's work therefore suggests that remembering is not simply an act of looking back; it is also a way of making sense of histories that remain incomplete and difficult to recover. *No Language Is Neutral* demonstrates how language carries traces of historical memory and how poetry can challenge the idea that language is neutral. "Return" further complicates the possibility of geographical or ancestral return by presenting the past as fragmented and only partly accessible. *Land to Light On* similarly shows that land cannot, by itself, guarantee a sense of belonging. Instead, migration produces identities shaped by movement, memory, uncertainty, and relationships with others.

Stecher Guzmán and Johansson argue that the search for surviving traces of slavery remains central to the formation of diasporic identity (145). Brand's poetry likewise suggests that histories disrupted by slavery and displacement cannot simply be restored through a physical return to a particular place. They have to be approached through fragments, language, memory, and imagination. Her poetic practice thus turns historical absence into a space for inquiry, allowing what has been lost or silenced to remain part of the process of remembering.

The central contribution of this study, therefore, is to read Brand's poetry as a form of counter-archival reconstruction. Her work does more than preserve memories of displacement; it also questions the historical structures that have produced forgetting and creates alternative ways of bringing marginalized histories into view. Black Canadian cultural identity consequently emerges not as a finished inheritance but as an ongoing process of remembering, interpreting, resisting, and reimagining. Where official histories leave gaps, Brand's poetry does not attempt to fill them with an artificial sense of completeness. Instead, it draws attention to those gaps and turns them into spaces through which cultural knowledge, relational belonging, and identity can continue to be explored.

References

Brand, Dionne. *Land to Light On*. McClelland & Stewart, 1997.

Brand, Dionne. *No Language Is Neutral*. Coach House Press, 1990.

Fraser, Kaya. "Language to Light On: Dionne Brand and the Rebellious Word." *Studies in*



- Canadian Literature / Études en littérature canadienne*, vol. 30, no. 1, 2005, pp. 291–308. <https://journals.lib.unb.ca/index.php/SCL/article/view/15283>.
- Garvey, Johanna X. K. “‘The Place She Miss’: Exile, Memory, and Resistance in Dionne Brand’s Fiction.” *Callaloo*, vol. 26, no. 2, 2003, pp. 486–503. Project MUSE, <https://doi.org/10.1353/cal.2003.0043>.
- Johnson, Erica L. “Building the Neo-Archive: Dionne Brand’s *A Map to the Door of No Return*.” *Meridians: Feminism, Race, Transnationalism*, vol. 12, no. 1, 2014, pp. 149–171. JSTOR, <https://doi.org/10.2979/meridians.12.1.149+>
- Johnson, Erica L. “Unforgetting Trauma: Dionne Brand’s Haunted Histories.” *Anthurium: A Caribbean Studies Journal*, vol. 2, no. 1, 2004, article 4. <https://doi.org/10.33596/anth.9>
- Johnson, Erica L. “Wreckognition: Archival Ruins in Dionne Brand’s *The Blue Clerk*.” *Chronotropics: Caribbean Women Writing Spacetime*, edited by Tegan Zimmerman and Odile Ferly, Palgrave Macmillan, 2023, pp. 47–64. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32111-5_3.
- Map to the Door of No Return*.” *The Explicator*, 19 May 2026, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00144940.2026.2673187>.
- Purewal, Tavleen. “Port Rupture(s) and Cross-Racial Kinships in Dionne Brand and Lee Maracle.” *A Journal of Canadian Literary and Cultural Studies*, vol. 8, 2019, pp. 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.33776/candb.v8i0.3612>.
- Stecher Guzmán, Lucía, and María Teresa Johansson. “African Travel Writing and Memories of Slavery in Dionne Brand and Saidiya Hartman.” *Anclajes*, vol. 26, no. 3, 2022, pp. 133–152. <https://doi.org/10.19137/anclajes-2022-2639>